

Lawrence Spasojevich (LS 0945)
Imran Ansari (IA 6878)
Aidala, Bertuna & Kamins, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue
New York, New York 10036
Tele: (212) 486-0011
Email: ls@aidalalaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NICHOLAS COTTON,
On behalf of Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals,

CASE NO.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

NY MINUTE MOVERS, INC.

and

MICHAEL DIASPARRA,

ECF Case,

Defendant(s).

Plaintiff, NICHOLAS COTTON, on behalf of Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals, by and through the undersigned attorneys, Lawrence Spasojevich, Esq. and Imran Ansari, Esq., hereby files this Complaint against Defendant(s), NY MINUTE MOVERS, INC., and MICHAEL DIASPARRA, (collectively "Defendant(s)"), and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff alleges that, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals are entitled to recover from Defendant(s): (1) unpaid wages at the minimum wage rate (2) unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate; (3) statutory penalties; (4) liquidated damages; (5) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (6) attorneys' fees and costs.

2. Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals are entitled to recover from the Defendant(s): (1) unpaid wages at the minimum wage rate (2) unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate; (3) statutory penalties; (4) liquidated damages; (5) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (6) attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff and Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

4. Venue is proper in the EASTERN DISTRICT pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the conduct making up the basis of the complaint took place in this judicial district.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, NICHOLAS COTTON, is an adult resident of Kings County, New York.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, NY MINUTE MOVERS, INC., is a domestic business corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, with a place of business located at 460 Kingsland Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11222

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, MICHAEL DIASPARRA, is an owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Defendant, NY MINUTE MOVERS, INC., who participated in the day-to-day operations of Defendant, and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an

"employer" pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and Regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. § 791.2, as well as NYLL§ 2 and the Regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Defendant, NY MINUTE MOVERS, INC.

8. At all relevant times, Defendant, NY MINUTE MOVERS, INC., was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

9. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals were directly essential to the business operated by Defendant(s).

10. At all relevant times, Defendant(s) knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals the overtime wage rate for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week in contravention of the FLSA and NYLL.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

11. The minimum wage rate in the City of New York for the year 2020 is \$15.00.

12. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants from May 30, 2020 through December 2, 2020.

13. Plaintiff was compensated at the minimum wage rate in the City of New York.

14. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as a helper.

15. Upon his recall and recollection, Plaintiff's regular scheduled work week was five days per week, 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. for a total workweek of sixty (60) hours.

16. Plaintiff would travel out of state approximately seven to ten times per month and was not compensated for travel time associated with this traveling.

17. Additionally, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff for all travel time between moving sites on a regular basis.

18. Defendant(s) did not utilize a timekeeping device at the workplace to track hours worked by Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals. Instead, Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals submitted their time via email.

19. Defendant, MICHAEL DIASPARRA, is an individual who, upon information and belief, owns the stock of NY MINUTE MOVERS, INC., owns NY MINUTE MOVERS, INC., and manages and makes all business decisions, including but not limited to, the decisions of what salary the employees will receive and the number of hours the employees will work.

20. Defendant, MICHAEL DIASPARRA, exercised control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals' employment, in that MICHAEL DIASPARRA has and has had the power to (i) hire and fire employees, (ii) determine rates and methods of pay, (iii) determine work schedules, (iv) supervise and control the work of the employees, and (v) otherwise affect the quality of the employees' employment.

21. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals were not correctly compensated wages at the overtime wage rate for all hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

22. Defendant(s) knowingly and willfully operated business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals' wages for hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week at the overtime wage rate in violation of the FLSA and NYLL and the supporting Federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

23. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, and during Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals' employment, the Defendant(s) failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records.

24. Defendant(s) did not provide Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals with an accurate wage statement or summary, accurately accounting for the actual hours worked, and setting forth the hourly rate of pay and overtime wages.

25. Upon information and belief, this was done to disguise the actual number of hours the Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals worked and to avoid paying the overtime wage for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week.

26. Defendant(s) willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and the NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

27. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals have been substantially damaged by the Defendant(s)' wrongful conduct.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

28. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals re-allege and re-aver each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "27" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

29. The Plaintiff brings this FLSA Collective action on behalf of Plaintiff and all other persons similarly situated under § 16(b) of the FLSA which provides, in pertinent part, "an action to recover the liability prescribed in either the preceding sentences may be maintained against any employer ... by one or more individuals for and in behalf of himself or themselves and other individuals similarly situated."

30. The Collective Class consists of all current and former non-exempt, helpers who worked for Defendant(s) who were not paid wages at the overtime rate when working more than forty (40) hours in a workweek.

31. Section 13 of the FLSA exempts specific categories of individuals from overtime pay obligations. None of the FLSA exemptions from overtime pay apply to the Plaintiff or Collective Members.

COUNT 1
[Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act]

32. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals re-allege and re-aver each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "31" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

33. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendant(s) was/were and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).

34. At all relevant times, Defendant(s) employed Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals within the meaning of the FLSA.

35. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant(s) has/have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.

36. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals were entitled to be paid for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week at the overtime wage rate as provided for in the FLSA.

37. Defendant(s) failed to pay Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals compensation in the lawful amount for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week as provided for in the FLSA.

38. At all relevant times, each of the Defendant(s) had, and continue to have a policy and practice of refusing to pay Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week in a workweek at the overtime wage rate which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a)(1), 207(a)(1), and 215(a).

39. Defendant(s) knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA, as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in week at the overtime wage rate when they knew or should have known such was due, and that non-payment of an overtime rate would financially injure Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals.

40. Defendant(s) have failed to make, keep and preserve records concerning each of its employees sufficient to determine the wages, hours and other conditions and practices of employment in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.A. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c) and 215(a).

41. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals are in the possession and custody of the Defendant(s). Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals intend to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.

42. Defendant(s) failed to disclose or apprise Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals properly of the rights under the FLSA.

43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant(s)' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals are entitled to liquidated damages under the FLSA.

44. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of the Defendant(s), Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals suffered damages in an amount not presently ascertainable of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate and an equal amount as liquidated damages, and prejudgment interest thereon.

45. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals are entitled to an award of their reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT 2
[Violation of the New York Labor Laws]

46. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals re-allege and re-aver each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "45" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

47. The wage provisions of the NYLL apply to Defendant(s) and protect the Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals.

48. Defendant(s), under their policies and practices, refused and failed to pay the earned wage at the overtime wage rate to Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week.

49. By failing to compensate Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals a wage for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week at the overtime wage rate, Defendant(s) violated Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals' statutory rights under the NYLL.

50. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the NYLL without a sound or reasonable basis.

51. Therefore, Defendant(s) knowingly and willfully violated Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals' rights by failing to pay Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a week in a workweek at the overtime wage rate.

52. Due to the Defendant(s)' NYLL violations, Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals are entitled to recover from Defendant(s) unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, under NYLL § 663(1) et al. and § 198.

53. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals also seek liquidated damages under NYLL § 663(1).

COUNT 3

[Failure to provide a Wage Notice]

54. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals re-allege and re-aver each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "53" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

55. The New York State Wage Theft Prevention Act and NYLL § 195(1) requires every employer to notify its employees, in writing, among other things, of the employee's rate of pay and regular pay day.

56. Defendant(s) has/have willfully failed to supply Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals with an accurate wage notice, as required by NYLL, § 195(1), within ten (10) days of the start of employment.

57. Through their knowing or intentional failure to provide the Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals with the wage notice required by the NYLL, Defendant(s) willfully violated NYLL Article 6, §§ 190 et. seq., and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

58. Due to Defendant(s)' willful violations of NYLL, Article 6, § 195(1), Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals are entitled to statutory penalties of fifty (\$50.00) dollars each day that Defendant(s) failed to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals with an accurate wage notice and reasonable attorney's fees and costs as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 §198(1-b).

COUNT 4

[Failure to provide Wage Statements]

59. Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals re-allege and re-aver each and every allegation and statement contained in paragraphs "1" through "58" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

60. Defendant(s) has/have willfully failed to supply Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals with an accurate wage statement, as required by NYLL, § 195(3).

61. Through knowingly or intentionally failing to provide the Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals with an accurate wage statement as required by the NYLL, Defendant(s) willfully violated NYLL Article 6, §§ 190 et. seq., and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

62. Due to Defendant(s)' willful violations of NYLL, Article 6, § 195(3), Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals are entitled to statutory penalties of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) dollars each day that Defendant(s) failed to provide Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals with wage statement and reasonable attorney's fees and costs as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 §198(1-d).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals request that this Court grant the following relief:

- (a) An award of unpaid wages at the minimum wage rate due under the FLSA
- (b) An award of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate due under the FLSA;
- (c) An award of liquidated damages as a result of Defendant(s)' failure to pay wages at the minimum wage rate and overtime wage rate pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- (d) An award of unpaid wages at the minimum wage rate under the NYLL
- (e) An award of unpaid wages at the overtime wage rate under the NYLL;
- (f) An award of liquidated damages and statutory penalties as a result of Defendant(s)' failure to pay wages at the overtime wage rate, failure to pay wages at the minimum wage rate,

failure to provide accurate wage notices, and failure to provide accurate wage statements pursuant to the NYLL;

- (g) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- (h) An award of costs and expenses associated with this action, together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and,
- (i) Such other and further relief as this Court determines to be just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
December 16, 2020

Respectfully submitted

By: 
Lawrence Spasojevich (LS 0945)

By: 
Imran Ansari (IA 6878)